BIBLICAL STUDIES JOURNAL (BSJ)

http://www.biblicalstudies.in/ Dr. Isu Jung Karki BSJ.2026; 8(3):69-84

Research article ISSN 2582-0214

WHOSE VOICE ARE WE HEARING?

Dr. Isu Jung Karki

Pastor and Christian Ministry Leader Kathmandu, Nepal

Email: isujkarki@gmail.com

Doi: https://doi.org/10.54513/BSJ.2026.8305

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 22-7-2026

Accepted: 24- 8-2026

Available online 21-9-2026

Keywords:

Biblical Discernment; Christian Discipleship; Hearing God's Voice; Scripture; Theological Education; Christian Ministry; Spiritual Maturity; Testing Truth; Obedience; John 10:27–28.

ABSTRACT

Christian believers live within a world of competing voices. Scripture, theological traditions, denominational interpretations, cultural assumptions, religious authorities, academic scholarship, media, and personal opinions all contribute to the formation of Christian belief and practice. In such an environment, Christian discipleship requires more than hearing; it requires discernment. Jesus declares, "My sheep hear my voice. I know them, and they follow me" (John 10:27). The biblical image of hearing therefore includes recognition, relationship, obedience, and following. This article examines the biblical relationship between hearing, knowing, testing, discerning, obeying, and following the word of God. Through a theological-biblical examination of John 10:27–28, Acts 17:11, 1 Thessalonians 5:21, 1 John 4:1–6, Philippians 1:9–10, John 8:31–32, Matthew 7:21–27, Mark 7:6–13, Hebrews 5:11–14, and Galatians 1:6–9, the article argues that biblical discernment is not merely a defensive response to false teaching. Rather, it is a positive practice of Christian formation and ministry. Believers are called to receive the word eagerly, examine teaching carefully, distinguish truth from error, remain faithful to Christ, and embody truth through obedience and love.

The article proposes an integrative model of Christian discernment: Hear → Know → Test → Discern → Follow → Obey → Love → Serve → Teach. This model suggests that theological education and Christian ministry should not merely transmit information but cultivate mature believers who can responsibly evaluate teaching and faithfully follow Christ. The article concludes that healthy Christian ministry begins not with institutional activity but with knowing God, hearing his word, testing what is taught, and faithfully living the truth.

Author(s) retain the copyright of this article, ©2026 Published by VEDA Publications

This is an open-access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommonsorg/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

1. Introduction

Christian ministry takes place within a world of many voices. Christians hear the voice of Scripture, the voice of tradition, the voice of denominational structures, the voice of culture, the voice of teachers and preachers, the voice of academic scholarship, the voice of social media, and the voice of personal experience. Some of these voices may assist Christian faith, while others may compete with or distort biblical teaching. The central theological question, therefore, is not simply whether Christians are hearing but whose voice they are hearing. Jesus' statement in John 10:27 provides a fundamental starting point: "My sheep hear my voice; I know them, and they follow me." The verse connects three dimensions of discipleship: hearing, relationship, and following. The sheep hear the shepherd's voice because they belong to him; the shepherd knows them, and they respond by following him. D. A. Carson observes that John 10:27–28 belongs to a larger section in which Jesus describes his relationship with his sheep in terms of recognition, protection, and life.[1] Thus, hearing Christ cannot be reduced to the reception of religious information. It is relational and transformative. The question of hearing becomes especially important when multiple interpretations of Christianity claim biblical authority. A teaching may be presented by an influential leader, supported by a long tradition, accepted by a large denomination, or repeated by many people. Yet none of these factors by itself establishes the truth of a teaching. The New Testament repeatedly calls believers to examine, test, discern, and hold fast to what is good. Acts 17:11 presents the Bereans as an important example. They received Paul's message with eagerness but also examined the Scriptures daily to determine whether his teaching was true.[2] Their response demonstrates that openness and examination are not opposites. They listened seriously, but they did not surrender discernment. Similarly, Paul commands the Thessalonians, "Test everything; hold fast to what is good" (1 Thess. 5:21). Gene L. Green notes that this command occurs in a context in which the community is instructed neither to extinguish prophetic activity nor to accept everything uncritically. The appropriate response is examination followed by retention of what proves good.[3] This article argues that biblical discernment is an essential dimension of Christian discipleship and ministry. It is not an optional intellectual exercise reserved for theologians. Rather, it is part of Christian maturity. The church needs believers who can hear Christ, understand Scripture, examine teaching, recognize error, practice truth, love people, and transmit faithful teaching to the next generation. The article proceeds through a theological-biblical approach. It begins with

hearing Christ's voice, considers competing voices and biblical testing, examines the relationship between Scripture and tradition, and then develops discernment as a model for Christian ministry and theological education.

2. Methodological and Theological Framework

This study employs a biblical-theological and contextual approach. The primary evidence is drawn from selected biblical passages that explicitly address hearing, testing, discernment, obedience, maturity, truth, and false teaching. These passages are read within their literary contexts rather than treated as isolated proof texts. Selected scholarly commentaries are used to clarify historical, linguistic, literary, and theological dimensions of the texts. The article does not assume that every Christian tradition approaches Scripture in exactly the same way. Christian communities differ in how they understand the relationship between Scripture, tradition, ecclesial authority, reason, and experience. Nevertheless, within the theological framework of this study, Scripture functions as the primary normative witness for evaluating Christian teaching. This approach does not imply that theological scholarship is unnecessary. On the contrary, responsible interpretation benefits from historical research, linguistic analysis, literary study, theological reflection, and engagement with previous interpreters. Grant R. Osborne emphasizes the importance of context, grammar, semantics, genre, historical background, and theological application in responsible biblical interpretation.[4] Scholarly tools, therefore, serve the task of interpretation; they do not eliminate the need for careful engagement with the biblical text itself. The central concern is consequently not "Scripture versus scholarship." The more appropriate distinction is between responsible scholarship that assists interpretation and human authority that replaces the biblical text. —

3. Hearing the Voice of Christ

3.1 Hearing is more than receiving Information

The biblical concept of hearing frequently involves response. In Scripture, hearing God is not merely an auditory activity. It includes receiving, understanding, believing, obeying, and responding. Romans 10:17 states that faith comes through hearing. The context concerns the proclamation of the message about Christ. Hearing, therefore, becomes a means through which the Gospel encounters people and calls forth faith. Jesus' words in John 10:27 take this further: "My sheep hear my voice." The image is relational. The sheep belong to the shepherd, the shepherd knows them, and they follow him. Carson's treatment of the passage emphasizes

the relationship between Jesus and his sheep and the security associated with belonging to him.[5] The sequence is significant: Hear → Know → Follow. Christian discipleship begins with hearing, but it does not end there. Hearing without following is incomplete discipleship. The same principle appears in Matthew 7. At the conclusion of the Sermon on the Mount, Jesus describes the wise person as one who "hears these words of mine and acts on them" (Matt. 7:24). R. T. France observes that the contrast between the wise and foolish builders concerns not merely hearing Jesus' teaching but responding to it in action.[6] Therefore, biblical hearing has an ethical dimension. A person can hear biblical words without allowing those words to shape life.

3.2 Hearing Requires Knowing the Shepherd

John 10 does not describe an abstract process of receiving divine information. Jesus says, "I know them, and they follow me" (John 10:27). Knowledge is reciprocal: the sheep know the shepherd, and the shepherd knows the sheep. This has implications for ministry. Christian leaders should not merely teach people to recognize theological terminology. They should help people develop a faithful relationship with God through Scripture, prayer, obedience, and Christian community. A ministry can become highly active while remaining spiritually shallow if its activities are not grounded in the Knowledge of God. The biblical priority is therefore not activity before relationship, but relationship that produces faithful activity.

4. The Problem of Competing Voices

Christian believers are continually exposed to competing interpretations of truth. Some voices speak explicitly in religious language; others enter Christian life through culture, politics, philosophy, technology, economics, family expectations, or social pressure. The problem is not that Christians encounter many voices. The problem arises when believers lack the capacity to distinguish among them. The biblical narrative repeatedly illustrates this danger. Genesis 3 presents a conflict between God's command and the serpent's reinterpretation of that command. The issue was not merely information; it was trust in whose word to believe. Jesus similarly criticizes religious traditions when they undermine God's command. In Mark 7:8, he says, "You abandon the commandment of God and hold to human tradition." James R. Edwards explains that the conflict in Mark 7 concerns the relationship between human tradition and God's command, particularly when tradition becomes authoritative to the point of displacing divine instruction.[7] This does not mean that every tradition is automatically

wrong. Christian communities necessarily develop traditions, practices, confessions, liturgies, and forms of theological language. The issue is whether tradition serves faithful biblical interpretation or becomes an unquestionable substitute for Scripture. This distinction is essential for theological discernment.

5. "Test Everything": The Biblical Mandate for Discernment

5.1 Acts 17:11 and the Berean Model

Acts 17:11 provides one of the clearest New Testament examples of responsible theological examination: "They received the word with all eagerness and examined the Scriptures every day to see if these things were so." The Bereans demonstrate two complementary attitudes: eagerness to hear and willingness to examine. Eckhard J. Schnabel's treatment of Acts 17:10–12 emphasizes the Bereans' examination of the Scriptures in response to Paul's proclamation.[8] The text therefore does not commend suspicion for its own sake. The Bereans did not reject Paul because he was unfamiliar to them. Neither did they accept his message merely because he was an apostle. They listened and examined. This provides a useful model for contemporary theological education. A healthy Christian response to teaching is neither "I accept everything because the preacher said it" nor "I reject everything because I disagree with it." Instead, it is: "I will listen carefully, examine the teaching in light of Scripture, understand its argument, and determine whether it is faithful." This is biblical discernment.

5.2 1 Thessalonians 5:21 Paul's instruction

"Test everything; hold fast to what is good" appears within a cluster of instructions concerning Christian community life and prophetic activity. Green places 1 Thessalonians 5:21–22 within this context of discernment and emphasizes the movement from testing to retaining what is good.[9] The command does not authorize indiscriminate skepticism. Nor does it encourage unquestioning acceptance. The process can be represented as: Test → Identify → Retain → Reject what is contrary to truth. The command, therefore, assumes that Christians are capable of meaningful evaluation. —

6. Testing the Spirits

The strongest explicit command to test spiritual claims occurs in 1 John 4:1: "Beloved, do not believe every spirit, but test the spirits to see whether they are from God." Colin G. Kruse identifies 1 John 4:1–6 as a distinct section dealing with discernment, while also showing how

the passage connects with the broader themes of truth, confession concerning Jesus, and the identity of the Christian community.[10] The text begins with a warning: do not believe every spirit. Christian faith is therefore not equivalent to believing every spiritual claim. The standard of evaluation in verses 2–3 is Christological. The community must determine whether a purported spiritual message is consistent with the apostolic confession concerning Jesus Christ. This provides an important principle: spirituality itself is not sufficient evidence of truth. A message may sound spiritual, emotional, powerful, traditional, prophetic, or authoritative and still require examination. Christian discernment, therefore, asks:

1. What is being taught?
2. What does the teaching say about Jesus?
3. Is the teaching consistent with the apostolic witness?
4. What kind of life does the teaching produce?
5. Does it agree with the wider biblical testimony?

Kruse's discussion of 1 John 4:1–6 places the testing of spirits within the community's need to distinguish truth from error.[11] Discernment therefore protects both doctrine and community. —

7. Scripture, Tradition, and Human Interpretation

The relationship between Scripture and tradition is one of the most important questions in Christian discernment. Mark 7:6–13 is particularly significant because Jesus confronts a situation in which religious tradition had become sufficiently authoritative to interfere with obedience to God. Edwards notes that Jesus' criticism is not simply against the existence of tradition but against tradition when it nullifies God's command.[12] This distinction is important because Christian communities cannot exist without some form of tradition. Every church has ways of interpreting Scripture, teaching doctrine, organizing worship, conducting ministry, and passing faith from one generation to another. The issue is therefore not: "Do we have tradition?" but: "How does our tradition relate to Scripture?" A responsible theological framework may distinguish three levels: First, revelation: what Scripture presents as the authoritative witness to God's purposes. Second, interpretation: how Christians understand and explain that revelation. Third, tradition: how particular communities preserve, formulate, and transmit interpretations. Confusing these levels can create problems. Human

interpretation can be treated as though it were identical with Scripture. A denominational formulation can become practically unquestionable. A cultural practice can be treated as a biblical command. Discernment requires the ability to distinguish these categories. —

8. Biblical Discernment as a Model for Christian Ministry

This section represents the central constructive contribution of the article. Biblical discernment should not be treated merely as a mechanism for detecting false teaching. It can function as a model for Christian ministry itself. The proposed model is:

Hear → Know → Test → Discern → Follow → Obey → Love → Serve → Teach. Each movement depends upon the previous one.

8.1 Hear

Christian ministry begins with hearing God's word. John 10:27 places hearing at the beginning of discipleship.

8.2 Know

Hearing develops into Knowledge. Christians are called to know God and understand the message they have received.

8.3 Test

1 Thessalonians 5:21 and 1 John 4:1 establish the necessity of testing.

8.4 Discern

Philippians 1:9–10 connects love with Knowledge, insight, and discernment. Paul prays that believers' love will "abound more and more in knowledge and depth of insight" so that they may discern what is best. Fee's treatment of Philippians 1:9–10 emphasizes the relationship between Knowledge, moral perception, and Christian conduct.[13] Discernment is therefore not simply intellectual classification. It has ethical consequences.

8.5 Follow

John 10:27 connects hearing with following Christ.

8.6 Obey

Matthew 7:24 identifies the wise disciple as one who hears Jesus' words and acts upon them. France emphasizes the practical dimension of Jesus' concluding illustration: hearing must result in doing.[14]

8.7 Love

Christian discernment must not produce arrogance. Knowledge without love can become destructive. The Christian goal is not to win arguments but to remain faithful to God while loving people.

8.8 Serve

Correct understanding should produce faithful ministry. Theological Knowledge should become ministry practice.

8.9 Teach

Finally, mature believers are called to teach others. Christian discipleship is intergenerational. What one generation learns must be faithfully transmitted to the next. Thus, discernment is not an end in itself. Its goal is faithful Christian living. —

9. Discernment Requires Knowledge and Love

Philippians 1:9–10 provides an especially important corrective to two opposite dangers. The first danger is Knowledge without love. A person may possess theological information but lack compassion, humility, and patience. The second danger is love without discernment. A person may sincerely desire peace and unity while accepting teachings that contradict the biblical witness. Paul brings the two together: love • knowledge • insight = discernment for faithful living. Fee notes that Paul's prayer is not simply that the Philippians would possess more information but that their love would abound with Knowledge and discernment so they could make appropriate moral judgments.[15] This has direct implications for theological education. The goal should not be merely to produce graduates who can define theological concepts. The goal is to form people whose Knowledge produces wise Christian judgment. Theological maturity, therefore, involves both truth and character.

10. Hearing Without Obeying

One of the greatest dangers in Christian ministry is confusing hearing with obedience. Matthew 7:21 warns that not everyone who says "Lord, Lord" will enter the kingdom. The passage immediately connects confession with doing the will of the Father. France places Matthew 7:21 within Jesus' broader warning concerning genuine discipleship and the difference between verbal profession and actual obedience.[16] The following illustration of the two builders makes the same point. Both builders hear. The decisive difference is that one acts upon Jesus' words. This means that Christian ministry should ask more than: "What do people know?" It should also ask: "What are people doing with what they know?" A church can have sermons, conferences, Bible studies, theological programs, publications, and doctrinal statements, yet fail to produce mature obedience. Biblical ministry, therefore, seeks transformation rather than information alone.

11. Spiritual Maturity and the Ability to Discern

Hebrews 5:11–14 provides a direct connection between spiritual maturity and discernment. The writer criticizes readers for having become "dull of hearing." Although they have had enough time to become teachers, they still require elementary instruction. Mature believers, by contrast, have their faculties trained through practice to distinguish good from evil. William L. Lane interprets this section as a criticism of spiritual immaturity that prevents the readers from grasping the writer's teaching about Christ. His discussion of Hebrews 5:11–14 emphasizes both the readers' inadequate development and the role of disciplined practice in maturity.[17] Verse 14 is particularly important: "Solid food is for the mature, for those whose faculties have been trained by practice to distinguish good from evil." Discernment is therefore trained. It is not merely a gift of personality. It develops through repeated engagement with Scripture, prayer, theological reflection, obedience, experience, and community. This has major implications for Christian leadership. A pastor should not assume that people automatically become discerning merely because they attend church. Discernment must be intentionally cultivated.

12. The Danger of Being Turned Aside

Galatians 1:6–9 demonstrates another dimension of discernment: the danger of departing from the Gospel. Paul expresses astonishment that the Galatians are turning to "a different gospel." His concern is not simply that they have adopted a minor interpretive variation. The issue

concerns the identity and integrity of the Gospel itself. This passage establishes a strong principle: Christian teaching must be evaluated not only by its emotional appeal or institutional popularity but also by its faithfulness to the apostolic Gospel. The importance of this principle should be maintained without creating a culture of suspicion. Paul's warning is directed toward the preservation of the Gospel, not toward constant conflict. Therefore, discernment must distinguish between: • essential and secondary matters; • biblical teaching and human inference; • legitimate theological diversity and contradiction of the Gospel; • responsible disagreement and destructive division. Such distinctions require maturity.

13. Theological Education and Biblical Discernment

Theological education has an important role in developing this maturity. A theological institution should not merely transfer information from teacher to student. It should teach students how to evaluate claims. This requires at least five forms of competence.

13.1 Biblical literacy

Students must know the biblical text sufficiently well to recognize arguments that genuinely arise from Scripture.

13.2 Contextual interpretation

Students must learn to interpret texts within their literary, historical, cultural, and canonical contexts. Osborne’s hermeneutical framework emphasizes context, grammar, historical-cultural background, genre, and theological application as essential dimensions of responsible interpretation.[18]

13.3 Historical awareness

Students should understand how Christian communities have interpreted biblical teaching across history.

13.4 Theological comparison

Students should be able to compare theological positions accurately before evaluating them.

13.5 Spiritual and ethical formation

Theological Knowledge should be integrated with character, humility, love, obedience, and ministry. This approach avoids two extremes. One extreme is anti-intellectualism, in which academic study is viewed as unnecessary. The other is intellectualism, in which theological

sophistication becomes disconnected from obedience. Biblical theological education should produce wise disciples, not merely informed specialists.

14. Correcting Error with Humility

Discernment can become spiritually dangerous if it produces pride. A person who discovers an error may begin to believe that discovering the error makes him superior to those who remain unaware of it. Scripture provides no basis for such arrogance. Galatians 6:1 instructs spiritual people to restore someone who is caught in wrongdoing "in a spirit of gentleness." The goal is restoration rather than humiliation. Likewise, 2 Timothy 2:24–25 instructs the Lord's servant to correct opponents with gentleness. Christian discernment, therefore, requires both conviction and humility. The mature question is not: "How can I prove that I am right?" but: "How can I faithfully uphold truth while remaining humble, teachable, patient, and loving?" This is particularly important for pastors and theological leaders.

15. Cultural Engagement and Biblical Discernment

Christian believers do not live outside culture. Every Christian community exists within a particular linguistic, social, political, economic, and cultural environment. The question is therefore not whether culture influences Christianity. It does. The more important question is: How should Christians discern which cultural practices can be received, which should be transformed, and which should be rejected? A biblical approach avoids both extremes. The first extreme is uncritical cultural acceptance. The second is total cultural rejection. Christian discernment evaluates culture in light of Scripture while recognizing that cultural forms are not identical with biblical revelation. This is especially important in cross-cultural ministry. Christianity can become confused with the culture of the missionary, denomination, or historical Christian centre. When this occurs, people may begin to assume that a particular cultural form is itself the biblical standard. Discernment requires careful distinction between: biblical principle, theological interpretation, historical practice, and cultural expression. Such distinctions allow Christian ministry to remain faithful to Scripture while communicating meaningfully within different cultural settings.

16. Blessings Must Not Replace the Giver

Another danger in Christian ministry is allowing God’s blessings to become more important than God himself. People may seek healing, prosperity, success, recognition, institutional growth, family blessing, social influence, or ministry expansion. None of these is necessarily

wrong. The problem arises when the gift replaces the Giver. Matthew 6:33 places the kingdom of God and his righteousness first. Christian ministry must therefore maintain a proper order of priorities. The central question is not: "How much has our ministry grown?" but: "Are we faithfully hearing and following Christ?" Institutional success cannot substitute for spiritual faithfulness. A ministry may be small and faithful; another may be large and faithful. Size alone cannot determine spiritual health. The biblical standard is faithfulness to God.

17. Knowing God and Loving People

Theological discernment must ultimately serve people. Jesus summarizes the commandments in terms of love for God and love for neighbor (Matt. 22:37–39). This prevents discernment from becoming merely argumentative. Christian ministry exists for the formation and care of people. The purpose of theological truth is not merely to create theological experts. It is to form disciples who know God, love others, practice righteousness, and serve faithfully. This is why discernment and love must remain together. A church that possesses doctrinal precision without love fails to represent the character of Christ. Conversely, a church that speaks constantly of love while refusing to test truth may become vulnerable to confusion. Biblical ministry, therefore, holds together: truth without arrogance, love without compromise, conviction without hostility, correction without humiliation, and scholarship without pride.

18. Scripture and the Next Generation

Discernment must be transmitted from one generation to another. A mature Christian leader should not teach people merely to follow the leader. The leader should teach people how to follow Christ. This distinction is crucial. If people depend entirely upon a particular pastor, organization, denomination, or teacher, their faith may become vulnerable when that person or institution changes. The better approach is to teach believers how to: • read Scripture; • understand context; • ask questions; • compare teachings; • recognize theological assumptions; • examine evidence; • pray for wisdom; • practice obedience; • remain humble; • and follow Christ faithfully. This is consistent with the Berean model. The goal of ministry is therefore not to create permanent dependence upon the minister but mature disciples who can faithfully continue following Christ.

19. Ministry is Ultimately Ministry to People

Institutions are important. Churches require organization, leadership, buildings, finances, programs, and structures. Nevertheless, the biblical purpose of these structures is ministry to people. Paul's concern in Philippians was deeply personal and pastoral. His prayer was that the believers would grow in love, Knowledge, discernment, purity, and fruitfulness. Christian ministry should therefore measure success not only through institutional indicators but through spiritual formation. Important questions include: • Are people growing in biblical understanding? • Are they becoming more discerning? • Are they learning to distinguish truth from error? • Are they becoming more obedient to Christ? • Are they growing in love? • Are they able to teach others? • Are they remaining faithful under pressure? These questions shift the focus from institutional maintenance to discipleship. —

20. Persevering when Truth is Unpopular

Truth is not established by popularity. Galatians 1:10 asks whether Paul is seeking human approval or God’s approval. Christian leaders must therefore resist the temptation to modify biblical teaching simply to gain acceptance. However, faithfulness does not mean intentionally becoming controversial. There is an important difference between being faithful to an unpopular truth and seeking controversy for its own sake. The first is obedience. The second may be pride. Christian leaders should therefore communicate truth with wisdom, humility, patience, and love. James 1:5 reminds believers to ask God for wisdom. Discernment requires not only information but wisdom about how truth should be communicated and applied.

21. A Practical Framework for Christian Leaders

The model developed in this article can be summarized as follows. Stage Biblical emphasis Ministry question Hear John 10:27 What is Christ saying? Know John 17:3. Do we know God and his teaching? Test 1 Thess. 5:21 Have we examined this teaching? Discern Phil. 1:9–10 What is true and spiritually beneficial? Follow John 10:27. Are we following Christ rather than personalities? Obey Matt. 7:24 Are we practicing what we hear? Love Matt. 22:37–39 Does truth produce love? Serve Matt. 25:31–40 Does Knowledge become service? Teach Matt. 28:19–20 Can we help the next generation follow Christ? This framework can be used in pastoral ministry, Bible study, theological education, leadership training, discipleship, and church development. Before accepting a new doctrine, a leader might ask: 1. What exactly is

being claimed? 2. What biblical texts are being used? 3. What is the context of those texts? 4. Are the texts being interpreted consistently with the wider biblical witness? 5. What assumptions are being introduced? 6. Is the teaching dependent upon tradition, culture, or Scripture? 7. What do respected scholars argue? 8. What are the strongest counterarguments? 9. What practical consequences follow? 10. Does the teaching lead believers toward Christ, truth, holiness, love, and faithful obedience? Such questions do not eliminate disagreement. They create a disciplined environment in which disagreement can occur responsibly.

22. Conclusion

The question "Whose voice are we hearing?" is fundamentally a question of Christian discipleship. Jesus says, "My sheep hear my voice. I know them, and they follow me" (John 10:27). This statement provides the theological foundation for the article's central argument. Christian discipleship begins with hearing Christ but continues through knowing, testing, discerning, following, obeying, loving, serving, and teaching. The Bereans demonstrate that genuine openness to God's word is compatible with careful examination. They received Paul's teaching eagerly while searching the Scriptures to determine whether his message was true. Paul's command to "test everything" further establishes that Christian faith is not blind acceptance. First John 4 demonstrates that spiritual claims must also be tested. Hebrews 5 shows that discernment belongs to spiritual maturity. Philippians 1 connects discernment with Knowledge and love. Matthew 7 demonstrates that hearing without obedience is inadequate. Mark 7 warns against allowing human tradition to nullify God's command. Together, these passages present discernment not as an optional intellectual discipline but as an essential dimension of faithful Christian life.

The proposed model—Hear → Know → Test → Discern → Follow → Obey → Love → Serve → Teach—therefore provides a practical framework for Christian ministry. Theological education should produce people who can think biblically. Pastoral ministry should produce people who can live faithfully. Christian leadership should produce people who can follow Christ rather than merely follow leaders. Church traditions should serve faithful biblical interpretation rather than replace it. Cultural engagement should be thoughtful rather than uncritical. And Christian Knowledge should result in love and service rather than pride. Ultimately, the goal is not simply to become people who can recognize error. The deeper goal is to become people who know the voice of Christ well enough to follow him

faithfully. Christian ministry is healthiest when its foundation is not merely activity, institutional success, popularity, or human authority, but the Knowledge of God, faithful hearing of his word, careful testing of teaching, spiritual discernment, obedient discipleship, and loving service. The final question, therefore, remains both simple and profound: Whose voice are we hearing—and whose way are we following?

Notes

1. D. A. Carson, The Gospel According to John, Pillar New Testament Commentary (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1991), 384–85.
2. Eckhard J. Schnabel, Acts, Zondervan Exegetical Commentary on the New Testament (Grand Rapids: Zondervan, 2012), 710.
3. Gene L. Green, The Letters to the Thessalonians, Pillar New Testament Commentary (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2002), 262–65.
4. Grant R. Osborne, The Hermeneutical Spiral: A Comprehensive Introduction to Biblical Interpretation, rev. ed. (Downers Grove, IL: IVP Academic, 2006), 37–56, 82–108, 158–81, 312–23, 345–74, 410–34.
5. Carson, Gospel According to John, 384–85.
6. R. T. France, The Gospel of Matthew, New International Commentary on the New Testament (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2007), 293, 296–97.
7. James R. Edwards, The Gospel according to Mark, Pillar New Testament Commentary (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2002), 209.
8. Schnabel, Acts, 710.
9. Green, Letters to the Thessalonians, 262–65.
10. Colin G. Kruse, The Letters of John, Pillar New Testament Commentary (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2000), 143–44.
11. Ibid., 144–51.
12. Edwards, Gospel according to Mark, 209, 214.
13. Gordon D. Fee, Paul's Letter to the Philippians, New International Commentary on the New Testament (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1995), 102.
14. France, Gospel of Matthew, 296–97.
15. Fee, Paul's Letter to the Philippians, 102.
16. France, Gospel of Matthew, 293.
17. William L. Lane, Hebrews 1–8, Word Biblical Commentary 47A (Dallas: Word Books, 1991), 131–32, 140.
18. Osborne, Hermeneutical Spiral, 37–56, 82–108, 158–81.

Bibliography

Carson, D. A. *The Gospel According to John*. *Pillar New Testament Commentary*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1991.

Edwards, James R. *The Gospel according to Mark*. *Pillar New Testament Commentary*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2002.

Fee, Gordon *D. Paul's Letter to the Philippians. New International Commentary on the New Testament*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1995.

France, R. T. *The Gospel of Matthew. New International Commentary on the New Testament*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2007.

Green, Gene L. *The Letters to the Thessalonians. Pillar New Testament Commentary*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2002. Kruse, Colin G. The Letters of John. Pillar New Testament Commentary. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2000.

Lane, William L. *Hebrews 1–8. Word Biblical Commentary 47A*. Dallas: Word Books, 1991.

Osborne, Grant R. *The Hermeneutical Spiral: A Comprehensive Introduction to Biblical Interpretation*. Rev. ed. Downers Grove, IL: IVP Academic, 2006.

Schnabel, Eckhard J. *Acts. Zondervan Exegetical Commentary on the New Testament*. Grand Rapids: Zondervan, 2012.

Primary Biblical Texts: The Holy Bible. Biblical passages cited throughout the article include *John 10:27–28; John 8:31–32; John 17:3; Matthew 7:21–27; Matthew 22:37–39; Mark 7:6–13; Acts 17:11; Romans 10:17; 1 Thessalonians 5:21–22; Philippians 1:9–10; 1 John 4:1–6; Galatians 1:6–9; Galatians 6:1; Hebrews 5:11–14; 2 Timothy 2:24–25; and Matthew 28:19–20.*

******